

On Braided Tensorcategories

Thomas Kerler

Department of Mathematics

Harvard University
Cambridge, MA, USA
kerler@math.harvard.edu

Abstract¹ : We investigate invertible elements and gradings in braided tensor categories. This leads us to the definition of theta-, product-, subgrading and orbitcategories in order to construct new families of BTC's from given ones. We use the representation theory of Hecke algebras in order to relate the fusionring of a BTC generated by an object X with a two component decomposition of its tensorsquare to the fusionring of quantum groups of type A at roots of unity. We find the condition of 'local isomorphie' on a special fusionring morphism implying that a BTC is obtained from the above constructions applied to the semisimplified representation category of a quantum group. This family of BTC's contains new series of twisted categories that do not stem from known Hopf algebras. Using the language of incidence graphs and the balancing structure on a BTC we also find strong constraints on the fusionring morphism. For Temperley Lieb type categories these are sufficient to show local isomorphie. Thus we obtain a classification for the subclass of Temperley Lieb type categories.

¹Submitted as contribution to the Proceedings of the XXIIth International Conference on Differential Geometric Methods in Theoretical Physics, Ixtapa-Zihuatanejo, México. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993.

0.Introduction

An important step in organizing selection rules and defining symmetry principles of Quantumtheories in algebraic terms has been the introduction of group theory into physics by Weyl, Yang, Mills and others. Since the works of [DR] and [D] it has become clear that the relevant data can be equivalently and more directly described by a *symmetric tensorcategory* (STC). Often in low dimensional physics the axiom that the commutativity constraint squares to one has to be relaxed so that we naturally obtain representation of the braid groups rather than the symmetric groups. The more general *braided tensorcategories* (BTC) are related to quasitriangular quasi-Hopfalgebras, but there is no one to one duality-correspondence as for STC's since BTC's are rarely Tannakian. Interestingly, they appear in many other areas of mathematical physics like the theory of subfactors of von Neumann algebras, two dimensional integrable lattice models, and low dimensional topology.

At generic points in the space of BTC's many uniqueness statements can be found by using deformation theory. They give some explanation about the relation of affine algebras and quantum groups at generic levels. For *rational* theories these methods break down. Nevertheless, one has identified equivalent rational BTC's coming from very different areas. An example of a family of related rational models includes $SU(2)$ and rank=2 WZW-models, the corresponding quantum groups at roots of unity, subfactors with Jones-index < 4 , the Alexander or Jones polynomials, and the Q -state Pottsmodel. In order to explain these coincidences in terms of a classification we need to find reasonable constraints on the considered class of BTC's. Most conveniently they are imposed on the combinatorial part of the $\otimes$ -category, i.e., the fusionrules.

In [KW] (see also [FK] for $k = 2$) it has been shown that if the entire fusionring of a BTC is equal to that of $\overline{Rep}(U_q(sl(k)))$ then the two categories themselves have to be isomorphic for suitable q . In this paper (which is in large parts a summary of results from [FK]) we wish to impose a much weaker condition, namely that the category has a generating object X whose tensorsquare $X \otimes X$ is the sum of two simple objects. In this situation we face a much larger class of categories including those that are obtained as product-, orbit-, and subgrading-categories from the known ones. The mentioned constructions rely on

the study of gradings and invertible objects of a BTC. Many of the resulting categories are inequivalent to any of the semisimplified representation categories of Hopf algebras and those occurring in conformal field theory. We find a natural condition in terms of Hecke algebra representations for when this list of categories is complete. We prove it for the case where one of the summands of $X \otimes X$ is invertible, thereby yielding a complete classification.

Acknowledgements : I thank P. Deligne, J. Fröhlich, D. Kazhdan, and H. Wenzl for very useful discussions. This work was in part supported by NSF grant DMS-9305715.

1. Braided Tensorcategories

In all our consideration we mean by a braided tensorcategory $\mathcal{C}$ an *abelian category* (see [M]) for which the morphism sets are finite dimensional vectorspaces over $\mathbf{C}$. In addition we have natural transformations $\epsilon \in \text{Nat}(\otimes, P\otimes)$ and $\alpha \in \text{Nat}(\otimes(id \times \otimes), \otimes(\otimes \times id))$. They yield the commutativity and associativity isomorphisms $\epsilon(X, Y) : X \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes X$ and $\alpha(X, Y, Z) : X \otimes (Y \otimes Z) \rightarrow (X \otimes Y) \otimes Z$ which have to obey the pentagonal and two hexagonal equations. For simplicity we shall omit α in the formulas although it can be a non trivial morphism. Also we shall only consider *rigid* categories. This means that to any object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ we find a conjugate object $X^\vee$ and morphisms $ev : X^\vee \otimes X \rightarrow 1$ and $coev : 1 \rightarrow X \otimes X^\vee$, with the usual pair of contraction identities. For details see, e.g., [S] for the symmetric and [K] for the braided case.

For any $\otimes$ -category $\mathcal{C}$ we can define the *fusionring* $K_o^+(\mathcal{C})$, which is the ring over $\mathbf{Z}^+$ generated by the equivalence classes $[X]$ of objects subject to the relations $[X] = [Y] + [X/Y]$ whenever Y is included into X and $[X \otimes Y] = [X][Y]$. It is clear that with this definition every object can be written uniquely as the sum of the simple objects that appear in its composition series and the products of the simple objects determine all other products of the fusionring.

A notion that is very useful for our purposes is that of *grading*. For a BTC the set $\otimes - \text{Nat}(id_{\mathcal{C}})$ which consists of natural transformations $\xi(X) \in \text{End}(X)$ with $\xi(X \otimes Y) = \xi(X) \otimes \xi(Y)$ is an abelian group. This fact allows us to decompose every object uniquely into a direct sum $X = \bigoplus_{\nu \in Gr(\mathcal{C})} X_\nu$. Here X_ν is the maximal subobject

such that the only eigenvalue of $\xi(X_\nu)$ is $\nu(\xi)$ for all ξ . $Gr(\mathcal{C})$ is the subgroup of all characters on $\otimes - Nat(id_{\mathcal{C}})$ of this form. This decomposition has the property that $(X \otimes Y)_\nu = \oplus_\eta X_{\nu\eta^{-1}} \otimes Y_\eta$ and that to any *simple* object X we can assign a unique $\nu \in Gr(\mathcal{C})$ with $X = X_\nu$. Thus $Gr(\mathcal{C})$ makes $K_o^+(\mathcal{C})$ into a graded algebra. We call $\mathcal{C}$ *locally rational* if every component $K_o^+(\mathcal{C})_\nu$ is finitely generated, i.e., if there are only finitely many inequivalent, simple objects of a given grading.

A special type of simple objects are the *invertible* ones, which satisfy $X \otimes X^\vee \cong 1$. They form an abelian group on $K_o^+(\mathcal{C})$ we shall call $Pic(\mathcal{C})$. Let us introduce two natural group homomorphisms:

$$\vartheta : Pic(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow Gr(\mathcal{C}) \quad (1.1)$$

$$\mu : Pic(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \otimes - Nat(id_{\mathcal{C}}), \quad (1.2)$$

where ϑ associates a grading to an irreducible element in $Pic(\mathcal{C})$ and μ is defined by $1_g \otimes \mu(g)(X) = \epsilon(X, g)\epsilon(g, X)$. A *balancing* of a tensorcategory is a natural transformation of $X \rightarrow X^{\vee\vee}$ to the identity functor. For a BTC a balancing is equivalently given by a transformation $\theta \in Nat(id_{\mathcal{C}})$ with

$$\epsilon(Y, X)\epsilon(X, Y) = \theta(X) \otimes \theta(Y)\theta(X \otimes Y)^{-1} \text{ and } \theta(X^\vee) = \theta(X)^t.$$

If such a balancing exists (there are plenty of examples where it does not) it is unique up to elements of order two in $\otimes - Nat(id_{\mathcal{C}})$. To a given balancing we can associate a family of traces $tr_X \in End(X)^*$ by

$$tr_X(f) : 1 \xrightarrow{coev} X \otimes X^\vee \xrightarrow{(f\theta(X)) \otimes 1} X \otimes X^\vee \xrightarrow{\epsilon(X, X^\vee)} X^\vee \otimes X \xrightarrow{ev} 1.$$

We call a *dimension* a function $d : K_o^+(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ which respects sums and products and is invariant under conjugation. Since the trace is cyclic, also for pairs of morphisms between different objects, and factorizes w.r.t. tensorproducts, we can define a canonical dimension by $d_{tr}(X) = tr_X(1)$. Dimension functions can also be constructed in a different way by applying Perron-Frobenius theory to the fusion matrices of $K_o^+(\mathcal{C})$, representing the action of the ring on itself by multiplication.

Theorem 1.1 *Assume that the fusionring $K_o^+(\mathcal{C})$ of a BTC $\mathcal{C}$ is locally rational, then*

1. there is exactly one positive dimension $d_{PF} : K_o^+(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$,
2. $d_{PF} \geq 1$ and $d_{PF}(X) = 1$ if and only if $X \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$.
3. If $X = X_\eta$ then $\underline{X} : K_o^+(\mathcal{C})_\nu \rightarrow K_o^+(\mathcal{C})_{\nu\eta}$, defined by multiplication has norm $d_{PF}(X)$ independent of ν .

In the last statement we assumed $K_o^+(\mathcal{C})$ to be equipped with the inner product for which the simple objects are an orthonormal basis.

In order to relate the positivity condition to properties of the categories themselves we introduce C^* structures which are known from applications in operator algebras and physics [DR], but are also related to the *polarizations* in [S]. A $*$ -structure on a BTC is an antilinear, contravariant, coexact BTC-functor $* : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. For simplicity let us assume that $X^* \otimes Y^* \xrightarrow{\sim} (X \otimes Y)^*$ is the identity so that α and ϵ are unitary. We call the category of finite dimensional Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}$ and denote by Ω the class of all covariant, exact (not necessarily $\otimes -$) functors $\omega : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ which commute with $*$. We say that $\mathcal{C}$ is a C^* -category if for any morphism f there is some $\omega \in \Omega$ with $\omega(f) \neq 0$. In this case we can introduce a norm $\|f\| = \sup_{\omega \in \Omega} \|\omega(f)\|$ which renders the category $\mathcal{C}$ semisimple and equips the algebras $\text{End}(X)$ with a C^* -structure in the usual sense. For C^* -categories we construct a balancing as follows. Define $\lambda_X \in \text{End}(X)$ by

$$X \xrightarrow{1 \otimes ev^*} X \otimes X^\vee \otimes X \xrightarrow{\epsilon(X, X^\vee) \otimes 1} X^\vee \otimes X \otimes X \xrightarrow{ev \otimes 1} X.$$

From the positivity of $\langle f \rangle = ev(1 \otimes f)ev^* = tr_X(\lambda_X^* f)$ and the fact that $\text{End}(X)$ is a sum of type I factors with trace tr_X we infer that λ_X is central. Since tr_X is generally cyclic it follows that the unitary part $\theta_o(X) = U(\lambda_X)$ gives rise to a natural transformation.

Theorem 1.2 *To any C^* -BTC $\mathcal{C}$ there exists precisely one balancing such that the associated traces tr_X are positive $\forall X \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$. It is given by $\theta_o \in \text{Nat}(id_{\mathcal{C}})$.*

Clearly, for this choice, the dimension d_o associated to the balancing is positive. Thus by Theorem 1.1 we obtain for locally rational C^* -categories the remarkable identity

$$d_{PF} = d_o \tag{1.3}$$

where both quantities are defined in completely independent ways.

2. Hecke - and Temperley Lieb Type Categories

In many examples $K_o^+(\mathcal{C})$ is generated by a single object Π (e.g., a fundamental representation) meaning every object is the direct sum of subobjects of tensorpowers of $\Pi \oplus \Pi^\vee$. It is easy to see that in this situation $Gr(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathbf{Z}/N$, generated by the character of Π , and the order $N \geq 1$ is the smallest number such that $Hom(\Pi^n, \Pi^{(n+N)}) \neq 0$ for some n .

In order to state a tractable classification problem we confine the class of BTC's further by restricting the dimension of $End(\Pi^{\otimes 2})$. The condition $End(\Pi^{\otimes 2}) = \mathbf{C}$ is by rigidity equivalent to $\Pi \in Pic(\mathcal{C})$ whereas $End(\Pi^{\otimes 2}) = \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}$ implies that $\Pi \otimes \Pi \cong A \oplus B$ for two inequivalent, simple objects A and B . The first is a special case of a θ -category which we classify in the next section. In the second case $\epsilon(\Pi, \Pi)$ has two eigenvalues γ_A and γ_B so that the rescaled natural representation of n -th braidgroup B_n on $\mathcal{E}_n = End(\Pi^{\otimes n})$, defined by $\rho(g_{i+1}) = -\gamma_A 1^{\otimes i} \otimes \epsilon(\Pi, \Pi)$ factors into a representation of the n -th Hecke algebra $\rho : H_n(q) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_n$ with $q := -\gamma_B \gamma_A^{-1}$. (We choose conventions as in [W1].) This sequence of morphisms is compatible with the inclusions $\mathcal{E}_n \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{(n+1)} : f \mapsto f \otimes 1_\Pi$ and thus extends to $\rho : H_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\infty$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is also a C^* -category we have $|q| = 1$ and ρ is a $*$ -representation on every $H_n(q)$. Henceforth we call BTC's with these properties *Hecke type categories*. For these $\tau|_{\mathcal{E}_n} = d(\Pi)^{-n} tr_{\Pi^n}$ defines a positive, normalized Markov trace on $\mathcal{E}_\infty$ with modulus $\eta = \tau(e_A) = d(A)d(\Pi)^{-2}$. Combining the above observations with results from [W1] we find the following restrictions:

Theorem 2.3 *For a Hecke type category with $\epsilon(\Pi, \Pi)^2$ nonscalar we have*

1. $q = -\gamma_B \gamma_A^{-1} = e^{\pm \frac{2\pi i}{l}}$ for some $l = 4, 5, \dots$
2. $\eta = \frac{d(A)}{d(\Pi)^2} = \frac{(1-q^{(-k+1)})}{(1+q)(1-q^{-k})}$ for some $k = 1, \dots, l-1$.
3. The morphism ρ factors through the semisimple quotient $H_n(q) \rightarrow H_n^{(k,l)}$ whose representations are labeled by (k, l) -diagrams.

Since $H^{(k,l)}$ coincides with the GNS-quotient of the pullback $\rho^* \tau$, the factorized morphism $\bar{\rho} : H_\infty^{(k,l)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\infty$ is an inclusion. It also yields a morphism of (non rigid) fusionrings $K_o(\rho)_n : K_o^+(H_n^{(k,l)}) \rightarrow K_o^+(\mathcal{C})_n$ for

positive gradings $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Here $K_o^+(H_\infty^{(k,l)})$ has a unique, smallest extension into a rigid fusionring $F^{(k,l)}$ with $\mathbf{Z}$ -grading which is shown in [GW] to be isomorphic to the truncated subfusionring of $U_q(Gl(k))$ generated by the usual fundamental representation. If $\mathcal{C}$ is locally rational the norms of $\underline{[1]}|_{H_n^{(k,l)}}$ and $\underline{\Pi}|_{K_o^+(\mathcal{C})_n}$ and hence of $\|K_o(\rho)_n\|$ are independent of n for large n . In this situation we find that $K_o(\rho)([1^k])$ has norm one, i.e., it is invertible, and thus can be used to extend $K_o(\rho)$ to a morphism of rigid fusionrings $\Psi : F^{(k,l)} \rightarrow K_o^+(\mathcal{C})$, defined also for negative gradings.

The embedding of the Hecke algebras gives us not only information on the fusionring but allows us to compute the balancing phases. In $H(q)$ the scalar α_λ by which the central braid group element $\Delta_N^2 = (g_1 \dots g_{N-1})^N$ acts in the irreducible representation associated to the diagram λ has been computed in [W2] as a framing anomaly of link invariants. It is possible to factorize the product of ϵ 's in $\mathcal{E}_N$ associated to Δ_N^2 into the expression $\theta(\Pi)^{\otimes N} \theta(\Pi^{\otimes N})^{-1}$. This observation enters the second part of the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4 *If $\mathcal{C}$ is a locally rational Hecke type category, then*

1. *there is a unique morphism of rigid fusionrings*

$$\Psi : F^{(k,l)} \longrightarrow K_o^+(\mathcal{C}) \quad \text{with } \Psi([1]) = \Pi$$

2. *if $X \in ob(\mathcal{C})$ is a subobject of $\Psi(\lambda)$ for some diagram λ then*

$$\theta(X) = \theta_\lambda 1_X \quad \text{where} \quad \theta_\lambda = \theta(\Pi)^{|\lambda|} (-\gamma_A)^{|\lambda| - |\lambda|^2} \alpha_\lambda^{-1}$$

By definition the image of Ψ generates additively $ob(\mathcal{C})$ so that every object is a sum of those considered in b). Hence the balancing of a Hecke type category is completely determined by $\theta(\Pi)$, γ_A and γ_B .

In order to explain the constraints on Ψ resulting from Theorem (2.4) we define the graph of a map of positive lattices $\Lambda : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ as the bicolored graph whose vertices are the generators of L_1 and L_2 with respective coloration. The number of edges between them are given by the matrix elements of Λ . Denoting by Ψ_n , $\underline{[1]}_n$ and $\underline{\Pi}_n$ the respective restrictions to the n -th graded components the relation $\Psi_{(n+1)} \underline{[1]}_n = \underline{\Pi}_n \Psi_n$ means that pairs of neighboring simple objects in the graph of $\underline{[1]}_n$ are mapped by Ψ to sums of pairs of neighboring objects in

the graph of $\underline{\Pi}_n$. By Theorem 1.1 Ψ is dimension preserving, i.e., $d_{PF}(\Psi(X)) = d_{PF}(X)$. From part b) of Theorem 2.4 we see that θ has to have the same value on every simple object of a connected component of the graph of Ψ_n . Knowing the specific values for one coloration namely the θ_λ on $F^{(k,l)}$ this imposes together with the neighborhood condition strong constraints on the structure of Ψ_n . In many cases the only remaining possibility is that the components of Ψ_n are pairs of different colorations so that Ψ_n is an isomorphism for every n . In this case we say that Ψ is a *local isomorphism*. A special subclass of such categories are *Temperley Lieb type categories* which are defined in the next theorem. Its proof is in part a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1 and identity (1.3).

Theorem 2.5 *If $\mathcal{C}$ is a Hecke type category with $\epsilon(\Pi, \Pi)^2$ nonscalar, then the following four conditions are equivalent*

- 1.) $k = 2$ 2.) e_A and $1 \otimes e_A$ generate $\mathcal{A}_\beta(3)$ with $\beta < 4$
- 3.) $A \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$ 4.) $d(X) < 2$ and $d(A) \leq d(B)$.

Here $\mathcal{A}_\beta(n)$ is the Temperley Lieb quotient of the Hecke algebra with modulus $\beta = q + q^{-1} + 2 = d(\Pi)^2$. The elements of $F^{(2,l)}$ are pairs $[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$ with $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $0 \leq \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \leq l - 2$. The graph associated to $[1]_n$ is A_{l-1} , where the gradation is $n = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ and two simple objects are adjacent if they coincide in one component. Specializing Theorem 2.4 to $k = 2$ we can write the balancing as $\theta_\lambda = c_n t^{d^2}$ where $t^4 = q$ is the primitive l -th root of unity and $d = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + 1$.

The norm of $\underline{\Pi}_n$ has to be the same as the norm of $[1]_n$ so that by an old result of Kronecker, see [GHJ], the only possibilities for the graph of $\underline{\Pi}_n$ are A_{l-1} , $D_{l/2+1}$ or $E_{6,7,8}$ ($l = 12, 18, 30$). One readily checks that the neighborhood and component condition discussed above exclude the D and E cases. In summary, we have the following result for $k = 2$:

Theorem 2.6 *Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is a Temperley Lieb type category with $\beta \neq 4$. Then there exists a local isomorphism of rigid, graded fusion rings $\Psi : F^{(2,l)} \rightarrow K_o^+(\mathcal{C})$ with $\Psi([1]) = \Pi$.*

3. Two Important Examples

A.) A class of braided tensorcategories that can be completely classified are semisimple BTC's for which all simple objects are invertible.

We call them θ -categories. For a θ -category $\mathcal{C}$ we have in particular $K_o^+(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathbf{Z}^+[Pic(\mathcal{C})]$ and the map ϑ from (1.1) yields an isomorphism $Pic(\mathcal{C}) \cong Gr(\mathcal{C})$. To a class of pairs (ϵ, α) of natural isomorphisms (considered as functions $\alpha \in C(Pic(\mathcal{C})^3)$ and $\epsilon \in C(Pic(\mathcal{C})^2)$ by specialization) that give rise to equivalent BTC's we can assign a unique class in $H^4(G, 2; \mathbf{C}^*)$, the cohomology group of the Eilenberg MacLane space $K_2(G)$. This correspondence results from the fact that the pentagonal and hexagonal equations translate to cocycle conditions and the transformations $g \otimes h \xrightarrow{\sim} g' \otimes h'$ of $\otimes$ -isomorphisms give rise to coboundaries, see [FK]. The function $\theta : Pic(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$; $g \mapsto \epsilon(g, g)$ is easily shown to be quadratic, only dependent on the cohomology class of ϵ and a possible balancing of $\mathcal{C}$. Combining these observations with results in [EM] we find the following classification:

Theorem 3.7 *To any quadratic form θ on a finitely generated abelian group G there exists one and up to isomorphism only one θ -category $\mathcal{P}(\theta, G)$ such that $Pic(\mathcal{P}(\theta, G)) \cong G$ and $\theta(g) = \epsilon(g, g)$.*

B.) It is well known that the category $Rep(U_t(Sl(k)))$ of quantum group representations, with $q = t^{-2k}$ a primitive l -th root of unity, is not semisimple. Nevertheless, it is possible to define a semisimple subquotient category. The morphisms are the quotients of $Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ by the nullspaces $Hom(X, Y)^o$ of the trace pairing

$$Hom(Y, X) \otimes Hom(X, Y) \longrightarrow End(X) \xrightarrow{tr_X} \mathbf{C}^*$$

In this category we also discard objects with $End(X) = End(X)^o$ which for indecomposable X is equivalent to $d(X) = 0$. (For details of this construction see [K] and also [A] and [GK].) The full subcategory generated by the image of $\Pi = [1]$ is a semisimple Hecke type category $R(t, k)$ without an a priori $*$ -structure. Let us call this an *indefinite Hecke type category*. As for $Sl(k)$ we label the simple objects by Young diagrams with the restrictions $0 \leq \lambda_1 - \lambda_k \leq l - k$ so that $A = [1, 1]$, $B = [2]$, $\gamma_A = -t^{1+k}$ and $\gamma_B = t^{1-k}$. The group $Pic(R(t, k)) \cong \mathbf{Z}/k$ is generated by the $\alpha = [l - k]$. The grading group $Gr(R(t, k))$ is also cyclic of order k and associates to a diagram λ the number of boxes $|\lambda| \bmod k$. Hence $\vartheta : \mathbf{Z}/k \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/k$ from (1.1) is just multiplication with l . A possible balancing of $R(t, k)$ is given by $\theta_\lambda = t^{c(\lambda)}$ where

$$c(\lambda) = \sum_{i < j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 + k(\lambda_i - \lambda_j).$$

The structure of the full subcategory over $Pic(\mathcal{C})$ is determined in the sense of Theorem 3.7 by $\epsilon(\alpha, \alpha) = (-1)^{(l-k)t^{(l-k)l}}$. The map μ defined in (1.2) is given by $\mu(\alpha)([1]) = t^{2l}$. A deformation argument used in [FK] (which should be extendable to general k) shows that the necessary constraint in Theorem 2.3 for the existence of $*$ -structures is also sufficient:

Theorem 3.8 *$R(t, 2)$ is isomorphic to a C^* -category if and only if $t^4 = e^{\pm \frac{2\pi i}{t}}$.*

There is a remarkable uniqueness result on the categories with the same fusion ring as $R(t, k)$ due to [KW] (for a proof for $k = 2$ using structure constants see [FK]).

Theorem 3.9 *Suppose for an indefinite Hecke type category $\mathcal{C}$ there is an isomorphism of fusionrings $\psi : K_o^+(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_o^+(R(t, k))$ mapping generators to each other. If in addition the invariants γ_A and γ_B of $\mathcal{C}$ coincide with those of $R(t, k)$ then ψ extends to an isomorphism of categories $\mathcal{C} \cong R(t, k)$.*

4. Product and Orbit Categories

There are a number of natural operations between categories that allow us to produce new categories, e.g., from the examples in the previous section. A special class of $\otimes$ -subcategories of a given BTC $\mathcal{C}$ is obtained by picking a subgroup $H \subset Gr(\mathcal{C})$ and defining ${}_H\mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ to be the largest full subcategory for which all objects have grading in H . Of particular interest is the subcategory ${}_0\mathcal{C}$ which consists of objects with trivial grading. It is additively generated by the subobjects of all $j \otimes j^\vee$ with j simple. Also we denote by $\mathcal{C}_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_2$ the largest full subcategory which is contained in two full $\otimes$ -subcategories $\mathcal{C}_i \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

Dual to the notion of direct products of Hopf algebras we have the notion of a product of categories $\mathcal{C}_i$ which is a biexact functor $\odot : \mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1 \odot \mathcal{C}_2$ onto the smallest additive completion of the ordinary product. The precise definition is given in [D]. Clearly, this functor induces an isomorphism $Gr(\mathcal{C}_1) \oplus Gr(\mathcal{C}_2) \cong Gr(\mathcal{C}_1 \odot \mathcal{C}_2)$.

The notion of quotients of BTC's related to branching of representations to sub-Hopf algebras needs more explanation: To this end assume that P is a full $\otimes$ -subcategory with a $\otimes$ -fibre functor $\nu : P \rightarrow Vect(\mathbf{C})$ (or $\mathcal{H}$) of strict, symmetric categories. To any object

$X \in ob(\mathcal{C})$ we have - up to isomorphism - a unique maximal subobject $X_P \hookrightarrow X$ with $X_P \in ob(P)$. We define a category $\mathcal{C}/P$ with $ob(\mathcal{C}/P) = ob(\mathcal{C})$ and morphisms $\widetilde{Hom}(X, Y) = \nu((Y \otimes X^\vee)_P)$. (see [D],[DM] for Tannakian categories.) The canonical morphism in P , $(Z \otimes Y^\vee)_P \otimes (Y \otimes X^\vee)_P \rightarrow (Z \otimes X^\vee)$, obtained from ev , determines the composition of morphisms in $\mathcal{C}/P$. Using the natural braid isomorphisms we find two canonical isomorphisms in P

$$\otimes^\pm : (Y_1 \otimes X_1^\vee)_P \otimes (Y_2 \otimes X_2^\vee)_P \rightarrow ((Y_1 \otimes Y_2) \otimes (X_1 \otimes X_2)^\vee)_P \quad (4.4)$$

both of which define tensorproducts of morphisms in $\mathcal{C}/P$.

Viewing the invariances as subobjects $Z_1 \hookrightarrow Z_P$ the map $Hom(X, Y) \rightarrow Hom(1, (Y \otimes X^\vee)_1) \xrightarrow{\nu} Hom_{\mathbf{C}}(1, \nu((Y \otimes X^\vee)_1)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \nu((Y \otimes X^\vee)_1) \hookrightarrow \nu((Y \otimes X^\vee)_P)$ gives then rise to a $\otimes$ -functor $p : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/P$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathcal{C}/P \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \otimes 1_{\mathcal{C}} \\ P & \xrightarrow{\nu} & Vect(\mathbf{C}) \end{array} \quad (4.5)$$

Clearly, the images of the natural isomorphisms $\bar{\epsilon} = p(\epsilon)$ and $\bar{\alpha} = p(\alpha)$ satisfy the pentagonal and hexagonal equations and are natural with respect to morphisms in the image of p . But since the functor p is by definition not full for $P \neq Vect(\mathbf{C})$ there is a priori no reason for $\bar{\epsilon}$ and $\bar{\alpha}$ to be natural in $\mathcal{C}/P$. It turns out that naturality is equivalent to demanding that P *decouples*, i.e.,

$$\epsilon(Q, X)\epsilon(X, Q) = 1 \quad \text{for all } Q \in ob(P), X \in ob(\mathcal{C}).$$

In this case the two morphisms $\otimes^\pm$ from (4.4) coincide. Suppose $j \in ob(\mathcal{C})$ is simple and $j \otimes j^\vee$ contains nontrivial subobjects from P . Then $\widetilde{End}(j) \neq \mathbf{C}$, and since kernels and cokernels have to stem from $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{C}/P$ fails to be abelian. Also naïve abelian completions usually spoil naturality of $\bar{\epsilon}$. In order to avoid this situation we have to impose the condition $\mathcal{C}_o \cap P = Vect(\mathbf{C}) \otimes 1$. It is easily seen that the only subcategories with this property are θ -categories over subgroups $R \subset Pic(\mathcal{C})$ on which the grading $\vartheta|_R$ from (1.1) is injective. In this case the fusionring morphism associated to p is locally isomorphic and the

inequivalent objects of $\mathcal{C}/P$ are identical with orbits of R . Hence we call $\mathcal{C}/R$ an *orbit category*. (In [FK] the term *induced category* was used.)

Conversely, any local isomorphism ψ is of the form that it sends simple objects to their orbits under the action of $\psi^{-1}(1) \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$. Moreover, we can pullback every category along such ψ by setting

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) := \bigoplus_{\nu \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}/P}(\psi(X_{\nu}), \psi(Y_{\nu})).$$

Note that the decoupling condition for R is that R lies in the kernel of the map μ from (1.2). We conclude with a survey of properties of orbit categories. For more details see [FK].

Theorem 4.10 *1. If $R \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$ is a subgroup on which μ is trivial, ϑ is injective and the associated θ -subcategory P is trivial then there exist a unique, abelian BTC $\mathcal{C}/P$, and functors ν and p such that (4.5) commutes.*

2. For any local isomorphism $\psi : F \rightarrow K_o^+(\bar{\mathcal{C}})$ of rigid fusionrings there is a unique BTC $\mathcal{C}$ with $K_o^+(\mathcal{C}) \cong F$, a functor $p : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{C}}$ and a fibre functor on the subcategory associated to $\psi^{-1}(1)$ extending ψ such that (4.5) commutes.

5. A New Family of Hecke Categories and a Classification of Temperley Lieb Categories

Combining the constructions and examples given in the previous sections we can define a class of indefinite Hecke type categories with fusionring $F^{(k,l)}$ by

$$D'(\theta, t, k) := {}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{P}(\theta, \mathbf{Z}) \odot D(t, k))$$

where $\Delta \subset \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/k = \text{Gr}(\mathcal{P} \odot D)$ is the diagonal subgroup. The basic invariants with respect to the canonical generator $\Pi' = (1) \odot \Pi$ are $\gamma_A = -\theta(1)t^{1+k}$ and $\gamma_B = \theta(1)t^{1-k}$, where (1) is the generator of $\mathcal{P}$. In fact Theorem 3.9 and Theorem 4.10 show that D' is the only category with this fusionring and these invariants. We have an isomorphism

$$\varphi : \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/(k, l) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}(D'); (i, j) \mapsto ((k, l)i) \odot \alpha^{jk' + il''},$$

where $k' = k/(k, l)$ and $ll'' = (k, l) \bmod k$. The grading ϑ is the projection onto the first factor $i(k, l)$. The θ -category P_{ij} associated to the infinite cyclic subgroup generated by an object $\varphi(i, j) = (n) \odot \alpha^m$ with $n \neq 0$ is trivial and decouples iff $\epsilon(\alpha, \alpha)^{m^2} = \theta(1)^{-n^2}$ and $t^{2lm} = \theta(1)^{2n}$. For these values we denote by

$$D''(\theta, t, k, i, j) := D'(\theta, t, k)/P_{ij} \quad (5.6)$$

the orbit category as defined in Theorem 4.10. In the list of the categories of the form (5.6) we recover the ones obtained from $\widehat{sl}(k)_{l-k}$ and $\widehat{sl}(l-k)_k$ and products of these with level one theories. Using that the group extension

$$0 \longrightarrow Pic({}_0\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow Pic(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow Gr(\mathcal{C})$$

is an invariant of $\mathcal{C}$ we can easily check that the orbit construction yields categories inequivalent to any subcategories of the known representation categories of Hopf algebras. The easiest such case is found for $l = 6, k = 2$, if we divide by the θ -subcategory generated by $\varphi(1, 1) = (2) \odot [4]$. The set of simple objects $\{[\cdot], \dots, [4]\}$ is the same as for the $U_t(sl_2)$ category, but we have modified products $[1][1] = [3][3] = [2] + [4]$ and $[1][3] = [\cdot] + [2]$. In general the requirement 2.) of local isomorphism from Theorem 4.10 is difficult to verify. However for $k = 2$ we can use Theorem 2.6 and the uniqueness of the D' -categories to prove the following classification.

Theorem 5.11 *Every Temperley Lieb type category with $\epsilon(\Pi, \Pi)^2$ non-scalar is of the form $D''(\theta, t, 2, i, j)$ for $t^4 = e^{\pm \frac{2\pi i}{t}}$ and admissible θ, i and j .*

In the case where ϵ^2 is scalar we can consider products with suitable θ -categories and reduce the problem to the case where $\epsilon(\Pi, \Pi)^2 = 1$. Since Π is a generator this implies that the category is symmetric and we can apply the result of [DR] to find a classification in terms of $U(2)$ -subgroups.

References

[A] Anderson, H.: Tensor products of quantized tilting modules. Commun. Math. Phys. **149**, 149-159 (1991).

- [D]Deligne,P.:Catégories Tannakiennes. Grothendieck Festschrift, **2**, 111-195. Birkhäuser, 1991. Deligne,P.,Milne,J.: Tannakian categories. Lect. Notes in Math. **900**. Springer Verlag, 1967.
- [DR]Doplicher,S.,Roberts,J.: A New Duality for Compact Groups. Invent. Math. **98**, 157-218 (1989).
- [EM]Eilenberg,S.,MacLane,S.: On the Groups $H(\pi, n)$. Ann. of Math. **58**,55-106(1953); Ann. of Math. **60**,49-139(1954).
- [FK]Fröhlich,J.,Kerler,T.: Quantum Groups, Quantum Categories and Quantum Field Theory. Lect. Notes in Math. **1542**. Springer Verlag, 1993. Kerler,T.: Quantum Groups, Quantum Categories and Quantum Field Theory.Dissertation ETH Nr.9828, 1992.
- [GK]Gelfand,S.,Kazhdan,D.: Examples of Tensorcategories. Inv. Math. **109**(1992)
- [GHJ]Goodman,F.M.,de la Harpe,P.,Jones,V.F.R.:Coxeter Graphs and Towers of Algebras. Springer Verlag (1989).
- [GW]Goodman,F.,Wenzl,H.: Littlewood-Richardson Coefficients for Hecke Algebras at Roots of Unity. Adv. Math. **82** 244-265(1990).
- [KW]Kazhdan,D.,Wenzl,H.: Reconstructing Monoidal Categories. Adv. Soviet Math. **16** 111-136(1993).
- [K]Kerler,T.: Non-Tannakian Categories in Quantum Field Theory. Proceedings of the Cargèse Summer Institute on "New Symmetries in Quantum Field Theory", Cargèse, July15-27. Plenum Press, 1991.
- [M]MacLane,S.: Categories for the Working Mathematician. Springer Verlag 1971.
- [S]Saavedra Rivano,N.: Catégories Tannakiennes. Lect. Notes in Math.,**265**. Springer Verlag, 1972.
- [W1]Wenzl,H.: Hecke Algebras of Type A_n and Subfactors. Invent. Math. **92** 349-383(1988).
- [W2]Wenzl,H.: Braids and Invariants of 3-Manifolds. To appear in Invent. Math. (1993).